



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/392,124	09/08/1999	DOUGLAS A. CHRISSAN	8X8S.239PA	9597
7	7590 02/20/2003			
CRAWFORD PLLC 1270 NORTHLAND DRIVE SUITE 390			EXAMINER	
			AZAD, ABUL K	
MENDOTA H	EIGHTS, MN 55120		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2654	

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/392,124	CHRISSAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABUL K. AZAD	2654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropent of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 January 2003. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			rth in
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	5.
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Second		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b would be rejected is provided belo)☐ will be entered an w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed: .			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Marcha D Bank Herold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's aruments are not deemed to be persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Bialik reference fails to teach more than one gain level is associated with each pulse sequence, the examiner notes that this limitation is taught by Bialik at col. 4, lines 12-31, where Bialik teaches quantized gain levels, typically has a value of 3 separate gain levels and for each gain level sequences of equal amplitude pulses are determined. Therefore, after compliting the mapping of all gain level, sequences of pulse will constitute with different averege amplitude value. As per Official Notice the examiner submitted a well-known reference by Atal (US 3,624,302), where teaches, excitation pulses are modified by the spectral envelope representative prediction coefficients in an all pole predictive filter.